Application No. Applicant(s) 10/725,837 REID, TONY **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary** Art Unit **Examiner CLINTON OSTRUP** 3771 **All Participants:** Status of Application: Decision on Appeal (3) _____. (1) CLINTON OSTRUP. (4) _____. (2) Dana Buschmann. Date of Interview: 28 April 2009 Time: 3:10 pm Type of Interview: Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: NO Claims discussed: Claim 5 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Clinton Ostrup/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771 /Justine Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU3771 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Paper No. 20090428

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked Ms. Buschmann if she would like him to amend claim 5, by examiner's Amendment, to reflect the amendment to claim 5 proposed in the Appeal Brief filed 3/26/08. Ms. Buschmann agreed to have the amendment made by Examiner's Amendment.